

**COMPARING COMMUTATIVE AND ASSOCIATIVE
UNBOUNDED DIFFERENTIAL GRADED ALGEBRAS OVER \mathbb{Q}
FROM HOMOTOPICAL POINT OF VIEW**

ILIAS AMRANI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish a faithfulness result, in a homotopical sense, between a subcategory of the model category of augmented differential graded commutative algebras CDGA and a subcategory of the model category of augmented differential graded algebras DGA over the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} .

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the forgetful functor from the category of commutative k -algebras to the category of category of associative k -algebras is fully faithful. We have an analogue result between the category of unbounded differential graded commutative k -algebras \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k and the category of unbounded differential graded associative algebras \mathbf{dgAlg}_k . The question that we want explore is the following: Suppose that $k = \mathbb{Q}$, is it true that forgetful functor $U : \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ induces a fully faithful functor at the level of homotopy categories

$$\mathbf{R}U : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{dgCAlg}_k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{dgAlg}_k).$$

The answer is **no**. A nice and easy counterexample was given by Lurie. He has considered $k[x, y]$ the free commutative CDGA in two variables concentrated in degree 0. It follows obviously that

$$\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{dgCAlg}_k)(k[x, y], S) \simeq \mathrm{H}^0(S) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(S),$$

while

$$\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{dgAlg}_k)(k[x, y], S) \simeq \mathrm{H}^0(S) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(S) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{-1}(S).$$

Something nice happens if we consider the category of augmented CDGA denoted by \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k^* and augmented DGA denoted by \mathbf{dgAlg}_k^* .

Theorem 0.1 (3.1). *For any R and S in \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k^* , the induced map by the forgetful functor*

$$\Omega \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{dgCAlg}_k^*}(R, S) \rightarrow \Omega \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S),$$

has a retract, in particular

$$\pi_i \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{dgCAlg}_k^*}(R, S) \rightarrow \pi_i \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S)$$

is injective $\forall i > 0$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55, Secondary 14 , 16, 18.

Key words and phrases. DGA, CDGA, Mapping Space, Noncommutative and Commutative Derived Algebraic Geometry, Rational Homotopy Theory.

Supported by the project CZ.1.07/2.3.00/20.0003 of the Operational Programme Education for Competitiveness of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic.

Let S be a differential graded commutative algebra which is a "loop" of an other CDGA algebra A , i.e. $S = \text{Holim}(k \rightarrow A \leftarrow k)$, where the homotopy limit is taken in the model category dgCAlg_k . A direct consequence of our theorem is that the right derived functor $\mathbf{R}U$ is a faithful functor i.e., the induced map $\text{Ho}(\text{dgCAlg}_k^*)(R, S) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\text{dgAlg}_k^*)(R, S)$ is injective.

Interpretation of the result in the derived algebraic geometry. Rationally, any pointed topological X space can be viewed as an augmented (connective) commutative differential graded algebra via its cochain complex $C^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$. In case where X is a simply connected rational space, the cochain complex $C^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ carries the whole homotopical information about X , by Sullivan Theorem [5]. Moreover, the bar construction $BC^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is identified (as E_∞ -DGA) to $C^*(\Omega X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\Omega C^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is identified (as E_∞ -DGA) to $C^*(\Sigma X, \mathbb{Q})$ cf. [4]. This interpretation allows us to make the following definition: A generalized rational pointed space is an augmented commutative differential graded \mathbb{Q} -algebra (possibly unbounded). In the same spirit, we define a pointed generalized **noncommutative rational space** as an augmented differential graded \mathbb{Q} -algebra (possibly unbounded). Let A be any augmented CDGA resp. DGA, we will call a CDGA resp. DGA of the form ΩA a *op-suspended* CDGA resp. DGA. Our theorem 3.1, can be interpreted as follows: **The homotopy category of op-suspended generalized commutative rational spaces is a subcategory of the homotopy category of op-suspended generalized noncommutative rational spaces.**

1. DGA, CDGA AND E_∞ -DGA.

We work in the setting of unbounded differential graded k -modules dgMod_k . This is a symmetric monoidal closed model category (k is a commutative ring). We denote the category of (reduced) operads in dgMod_k by Op_k . We follow notations and definitions of [2], we say that an operad P is *admissible* if the category of P - dgAlg_k admits a model structure where the fibrations are degree wise surjections and weak equivalence are quasi-isomorphisms. For any map of operads $\phi : P \rightarrow Q$ we have an induced adjunction of the corresponding categories of algebras:

$$P - \text{dgAlg}_k \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi_!} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi^*} \end{array} Q - \text{dgAlg}_k.$$

A Σ -cofibrant operad P is an operad such that $P(n)$ is $k[\Sigma_n]$ -cofibrant in $\text{dgMod}_{k[\Sigma_n]}$. Any cofibrant operad P is a Σ -cofibrant operad [2, Proposition 4.3]. We denote the associative operad by Ass and the commutative operad by Com . The operad Ass is an admissible operad and Σ -cofibrant, while the operad Com is not admissible in general. In the rational case, when $k = \mathbb{Q}$ the operad Com is admissible but not Σ -cofibrant. More generally any cofibrant operad P is admissible [2, Proposition 4.1, Remark 4.2]. We define a symmetric tensor product of operads by the formulae

$$[P \otimes Q](n) = P(n) \otimes Q(n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose that $\phi : \text{Ass} \rightarrow P$ is a cofibration of operads. The operad P is admissible and the functor $\phi^* : P - \text{dgAlg}_k \rightarrow \text{dgAlg}_k$ preserves fibrations, weak equivalences and cofibrations with cofibrant domain in the inderleing category dgMod_k .*

Proof. First of all, the operad \mathbf{P} is admissible, indeed we use the cofibrant resolution $r : \mathbf{E}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Com}$ and consider the following pushout in \mathbf{Op}_k given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Ass}_\infty & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{E}_\infty \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \mathbf{Ass} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{E}'_\infty \end{array}$$

Where \mathbf{Ass}_∞ is the cofibrant replacement of \mathbf{Ass} in \mathbf{Op}_k and $\mathbf{Ass}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_\infty$ is a cofibration. Since the category \mathbf{Op}_k is left proper in the sense of [8, Theorem 3], we have that $\alpha : \mathbf{E}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{E}'_\infty$ is an equivalence. We denote by I the unit interval in the category \mathbf{dgMod}_k which is strictly coassociative. The opposite endomorphism operad $\mathbf{End}^{op}(I)$ has a structure of \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebra and \mathbf{Ass}_∞ -algebra which factors through \mathbf{Ass} i.e., we have two compatible maps of operads:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Ass}_\infty & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{E}_\infty \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \mathbf{Ass} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{E}'_\infty \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \searrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{E}_\infty \\ \mathbf{E}'_\infty \\ \mathbf{End}^{op}(I) \end{array}$$

by the universality of the pushout, we have a map of operads $\mathbf{E}'_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{End}^{op}(I)$. This means that the unit interval I has a structure of \mathbf{E}'_∞ -colagebra [2, p.4]. Moreover, we have a commutative diagram in \mathbf{Op}_k given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Ass} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathbf{Ass} \otimes \mathbf{Ass} & \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes f} & \mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{E}'_\infty \\ \downarrow \phi & & & & \downarrow id \otimes r \sim \\ \mathbf{P} & \xrightarrow{id} & \mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{Com} & = & \mathbf{P} \end{array}$$

where the diagonal map $\Delta : \mathbf{Ass} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ass} \otimes \mathbf{Ass}$ is induced by the diagonals $\Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n$. Hence, the map $\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{E}'_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ admits a section. It implies by [2, Proposition 4.1], that \mathbf{P} is admissible and Σ -cofibrant. Since all objects in $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ are fibrant and ϕ^* is a right Quillen adjoint, it preserves fibrations and weak equivalences.

Since \mathbf{P} is an admissible operad, we have a Quillen adjunction

$$\mathbf{dgAlg}_k \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi_!} \\ \xleftarrow{\phi^*} \end{array} \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{dgAlg}_k,$$

where the functor ϕ^* is identified to the forgetful functor. Moreover, the model structure on $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ is the transferred model structure from the cofibrantly generated model structure \mathbf{dgAlg}_k via the adjunction $\phi_!, \phi^*$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow C$ is a cofibration in $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ such that A is cofibrant in \mathbf{dgMod}_k . We factor this map as a cofibration followed by a trivial fibration

$$A \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow[\sim]{p} B$$

in the category \mathbf{dgAlg}_k . By [7, Lemma 4.1.16], we have an induced map of endomorphism operads (of diagrams):

$$\mathrm{End}_{\{A \rightarrow P \rightarrow B\}} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\{A \rightarrow B\}}$$

which is a trivial fibration. Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram in Op_k

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ass} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{End}_{\{A \rightarrow P \rightarrow B\}} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathbf{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{End}_{\{A \rightarrow B\}} \end{array}$$

Since Op_k is a model category, it implies that we have a lifting map of operads $\mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\{A \rightarrow P \rightarrow B\}}$, hence i and p are maps of $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{dgAlg}_k$. Therefore, we consider the following commutative square in the category $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{dgAlg}_k$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i} & P \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow r & \downarrow p \\ B & \xrightarrow{id} & B \end{array}$$

the lifting map r exists since $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ is a model category, we conclude that $p \circ r = id$ and $r \circ f = i$, which means that f is a retract of i , hence f is a cofibration in \mathbf{dgAlg}_k . \square

Remark 1.2. With the same notation as in 1.1, if A is a cofibrant object in $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ then A is a cofibrant object in \mathbf{dgMod}_k . Indeed $k \rightarrow A$ is a cofibration in $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{dgAlg}_k$, by the previous lemma $k \rightarrow A$ is a cofibration in \mathbf{dgAlg}_k . Therefore, $k \rightarrow A$ is a cofibration in \mathbf{dgMod}_k .

2. SUSPENSION IN CGDA AND DGA

We denote the the operad E'_∞ of the previous section by E_∞ , and $k = \mathbb{Q}$.

2.1. E_∞ -DGA. We have a map of operads $\mathrm{Ass} \rightarrow \mathrm{Com}$, which we factor as cofibration followed by a trivial fibration.

$$\mathrm{Ass} \hookrightarrow E_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Com}$$

As a consequence, we have the following Quillen adjunctions

$$\mathbf{dgAlg}_k \xrightleftharpoons[U]{Ab_\infty} E_\infty \mathbf{dgAlg}_k \xrightleftharpoons[U']{str} \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k$$

These adjunctions have the following properties:

- The functors U' and $U \circ U'$ and are the forgetful functors, they are fully faithful cf 2.3 and 2.2.
- The functors str , U' form a Quillen equivalence since $k = \mathbb{Q}$ cf [6, Corollary 1.5]. The functor str is the strictification functor.
- The functors Ab_∞ , U form a Quillen pair.
- The composition $str \circ Ab_\infty$ is the abelianization functor $Ab : \mathbf{dgAlg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k$.
- The functors str and Ab are idempotent functors. cf 2.3 and 2.2.

The model categories \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k^* and \mathbf{dgAlg}_k^* and $\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{dgAlg}_k^*$ are pointed model categories. It is natural to introduce the suspension functors in these categories.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathbf{C} be any pointed model category, we denote the point by 1, and let $A \in \mathbf{C}$, a suspension ΣA is defined as $\mathrm{hocolim}(1 \leftarrow A \rightarrow 1)$.

Proposition 2.2. Any map $f : A \rightarrow S$ in $\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{dgAlg}_k$, where S is in \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k factors in a unique way as $A \rightarrow \mathrm{str}(A) \rightarrow S$ and the forgetful functor $U' : \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ is fully faithful. Moreover, the unit of the adjunction $\nu_A : A \rightarrow \mathrm{str}(A)$ is a fibration.

Proof. Suppose that we have a map $h : R \rightarrow S$ in $\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ such that R and S are objects in \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k . By definition of the operad \mathbf{E}_∞ the map h has to be associative, therefore h is a morphism in \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k since R and S are commutative differential graded algebras. The forgetful functor $U' : \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ is fully faithful, this implies that $\mathrm{str}(S) = S$ for any $S \in \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k$. We have a commutative diagram induced by the unit ν of the adjunction (U', str) :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & S \\ \nu_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_S = id \\ \mathrm{str}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{str}(f)} & \mathrm{str}(S) = S. \end{array}$$

We conclude that $f = \mathrm{str}(f) \circ \nu_A$. The surjectivity of the ν_A follows from the universal property of $\mathrm{str}(A)$. Hence, ν_A is a fibration in $\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{dgAlg}_k$. \square

Proposition 2.3. Any map $f : A \rightarrow S$ in \mathbf{dgAlg}_k , where S is in \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k factors in a unique way as $A \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}(A) \rightarrow S$ and the forgetful functor $U \circ U' : \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ is fully faithful. Moreover, the unit of the adjunction $\nu_A : A \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}(A)$ is a fibration.

Proof. The proof is the same as in 2.2. \square

Proposition 2.4. Suppose that we have a trivial cofibration $k \rightarrow \underline{k}$ in $\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{dgAlg}_k$. Then the universal map $\pi : \mathrm{Ab}(\underline{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{str}(\underline{k})$ is a trivial fibration and admits a section in the category \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k .

Proof. We consider the following commutative diagram in $\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{dgAlg}_k$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \underline{k} \\ id \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k = \mathrm{str}(k) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{str}(\underline{k}). \end{array}$$

The map $k \rightarrow \mathrm{str}(\underline{k})$ is an equivalence since str is left Quillen functor, the same thing holds for the abelianization functor i.e., $\underline{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}(\underline{k})$ is a trivial fibration, since $k \rightarrow \underline{k}$ is a trivial cofibration in \mathbf{dgAlg}_k 1.1 and Ab is a left Quillen functor. On another hand the map $\underline{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{str}(\underline{k})$, which is a trivial fibration in $\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{dgAlg}_k$ and hence in \mathbf{dgAlg}_k , can be factored (cf 2.3) as $\underline{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}(\underline{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{str}(\underline{k})$, where $\mathrm{Ab}(\underline{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{str}(\underline{k})$ is a trivial fibration between cofibrant object in \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k . It follows that we have a retract $l : \mathrm{str}(\underline{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}(\underline{k})$. \square

Definition 2.5. The suspension functor in the pointed model categories \mathbf{dgCAlg}_k^* , \mathbf{dgAlg}_k^* and $\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathbf{dgAlg}_k^*$ are denoted by B , Σ and B_∞ .

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that A is a cofibrant object in $E_\infty \text{dgAlg}_k^*$, and $i : A \rightarrow \underline{k}$ a cofibration, then $\text{str}(B_\infty A)$ is a retract of $\text{Ab}(\Sigma A)$ in the category dgCAlg_k .*

Proof. First of all if a map f is associative, commutative resp. E_∞ -map we put an index f_a , f_c resp. f_∞ , notice that by definition of the operad E_∞ any E_∞ -map is a strictly associative map. Suppose that A is a cofibrant object in $E_\infty \text{dgAlg}_k$. Consider the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{i_\infty} & \underline{k} \\
 \downarrow i_\infty & & \downarrow h_a \\
 \underline{k} \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{h_a} & \Sigma A \\
 & \searrow f_\infty & \downarrow u_a \\
 & & B_\infty A
 \end{array}$$

(Note: Dotted lines connect $\underline{k} \rightarrow B_\infty A$ and $\Sigma A \rightarrow B_\infty A$ via f_∞ and u_a respectively, with an $\exists!$ symbol near the $B_\infty A$ node.)

where ΣA is the (homotopy 1.1) pushout in dgAlg_k and $B_\infty A$ is the (homotopy) pushout in $E_\infty \text{dgAlg}_k$. By proposition 2.2 and proposition 2.3 we have a following commutative square in dgAlg_k :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma A & \xrightarrow{u_a} & B_\infty A \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Ab}(\Sigma A) & \xrightarrow{x_c} & \text{str}[B_\infty A] = \text{B}[\text{str}(A)].
 \end{array}$$

By 2.4 we have an inclusion of commutative differential graded algebras $l_c : \text{str}(\underline{k}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}(\underline{k})$ and after strictification we obtain on another (homotopy) pushout square in dgCAlg_k given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{str}(A) \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{i_c} & \text{str}(\underline{k}) \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{l_c} & \text{Ab}(\underline{k}) \\
 \downarrow i_c & & \downarrow f_c & & \downarrow h_c \\
 \text{str}(\underline{k}) \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{f_c} & \text{B}[\text{str}(A)] & & \\
 \downarrow l_c & & \searrow \exists! & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Ab}(\underline{k}) \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{h_c} & \text{Ab}(\Sigma(A)). & &
 \end{array}$$

(Note: Dotted lines connect $\text{B}[\text{str}(A)] \rightarrow \text{Ab}(\Sigma(A))$ via u_c and $\text{str}(\underline{k}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}(\Sigma(A))$ via h_c .)

In order to prove that $\text{B}[\text{str}(A)]$ is a retract of $\text{Ab}(\Sigma(A))$ it is sufficient to prove that

$$x_c \circ h_c \circ l_c = f_c.$$

By proposition 2.2 and proposition 2.3, the composition E_∞ -maps

$$\underline{k} \xrightarrow{f_\infty} B_\infty A \longrightarrow \text{str}[B_\infty A]$$

can be factored in a unique way as

$$\underline{k} \longrightarrow \text{Ab}(\underline{k}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{str}(\underline{k}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_c} \text{str}[B_\infty A] = B[\text{str}(A)].$$

By unicity, $\alpha_c = f_c$. On another hand, using the first pushout in $E_\infty \text{dgAlg}_k$, the previous composition $\underline{k} \rightarrow \text{str}[B_\infty A]$ is factored as

$$\underline{k} \xrightarrow{h_a} \Sigma A \longrightarrow \text{Ab}(\Sigma A) \xrightarrow{x_c} \text{str}[B_\infty A].$$

We summarize the previous remarks in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{k} & \xrightarrow{pr} & \text{Ab}(\underline{k}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{str}(\underline{k}) & \xrightarrow{f_c} & \text{str}[B_\infty A] \\ \downarrow id & & \downarrow h_c & & & & \downarrow id \\ \underline{k} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ab}(\Sigma A) & \xrightarrow{x_c} & \text{str}[B_\infty A] & & \end{array}$$

by definition of h_a , the dotted map h_c makes the left square commutative. Since the whole square is commutative and the map pr is surjective we conclude that $x_c \circ h_c = f_c \circ \pi$. Since the map $l_c : \text{Str}(\underline{k}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}(\underline{k})$ is a retract of π (Cf. 2.4) i.e., $\pi \circ l_c = id$, we conclude that $x_c \circ h_c \circ l_c = f_c$. Finally, by unicity of the pushout, we deduce that the following composition

$$B[\text{str}(A)] \xrightarrow{u_c} \text{Ab}(\Sigma A) \xrightarrow{x_c} B[\text{str}(A)]$$

is identity. \square

3. MAIN RESULT AND APPLICATIONS

Theorem 3.1. *For any R and S in dgCAlg_k^* , the induced map by the forgetful functor*

$$\Omega \text{Map}_{\text{dgCAlg}_k^*}(R, S) \rightarrow \Omega \text{Map}_{\text{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S),$$

has a retract, in particular

$$\pi_i \text{Map}_{\text{dgCAlg}_k^*}(R, S) \rightarrow \pi_i \text{Map}_{\text{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S)$$

is injective $\forall i > 0$.

Proof. Suppose that R is (cofibrant) object in $E_\infty \text{dgAlg}_k$ and S any object in dgCAlg_k . By adjunction, we have that

$$\Omega \text{Map}_{\text{dgCAlg}_k^*}(\text{str}(R), S) \sim \text{Map}_{\text{dgCAlg}_k^*}(B[\text{str}(R)], S) \quad (3.1)$$

$$\sim \text{Map}_{\text{dgCAlg}_k^*}(\text{str}[B_\infty R], S) \quad (3.2)$$

$$\sim \text{Map}_{E_\infty \text{dgAlg}_k^*}(B_\infty R, S) \quad (3.3)$$

$$\sim \Omega \text{Map}_{E_\infty \text{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S). \quad (3.4)$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have a retract

$$\text{Map}_{\text{dgCAlg}_k^*}(B[\text{str}(R)], S) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{dgCAlg}_k^*}(\text{Ab}(\Sigma R), S) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{dgCAlg}_k^*}(B[\text{str}(R)], S).$$

Again by adjunction:

$$\text{Map}_{\text{dgCAlg}_k^*}(\text{Ab}(\Sigma R), S) \sim \text{Map}_{\text{dgAlg}_k^*}(\Sigma R, S) \sim \Omega \text{Map}_{\text{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S).$$

We conclude that

$$\Omega\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathrm{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S) \xrightarrow{U} \Omega\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S) \longrightarrow \Omega\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathrm{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S)$$

is a retract. Hence, the forgetful functor U induces an injective map on homotopy groups i.e.,

$$\pi_i\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dgAlg}_k^*}(str(R), S) \simeq \pi_i\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathrm{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S) \rightarrow \pi_i\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S)$$

is injective $\forall i > 0$. \square

3.1. Rational homotopy theory. We give an application of our theorem 3.1 in the context of rational homotopy theory. Let X be a simply connected rational space such that $\pi_i X$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space for each $i > 0$. Let $C^*(X)$ be the differential graded \mathbb{Q} -algebra cochain associated to X which is a connective $\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathrm{dgAlg}_k$. By Sullivan theorem $\pi_i X \simeq \pi_i\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dgAlg}_k^*}(C^*(X), \mathbb{Q})$. By 3.1, we have that $\pi_i X$ is a sub \mathbb{Q} -vector space of $\pi_i\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dgAlg}_k^*}(R, S)$. On another hand [1], since $C^*(X)$ is connective, we have that for any $i > 1$

$$\pi_i\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dgAlg}_k^*}(C^*(X), \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{HH}^{-1+i}(C^*(X), \mathbb{Q}),$$

where HH^* is the Hochschild cohomology. Since we have assumed finiteness condition on X , we have that

$$\mathrm{HH}^{-1+i}(C^*(X), \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{HH}_{i-1}(C^*(X), \mathbb{Q}).$$

The functor $C^*(-, \mathbb{Q}) : \mathrm{Top}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_\infty\mathrm{dgAlg}_k$ commutes with finite homotopy limits, where Top is the category of simply connected spaces. Hence,

$$\mathrm{HH}_{-1+i}(C^*(X), \mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{H}^{i-1}[C^*(X) \otimes_{C^*(X \times X)}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbb{Q}] \simeq \mathrm{H}^{i-1}(\Omega X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

We conclude that $\pi_i X$ is a sub \mathbb{Q} -vector space of $\mathrm{H}^{i-1}(\Omega X, \mathbb{Q})$.

More generally by Block-Lazarev result [3] on rational homotopy theory and [1], we have an injective map of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces

$$\mathrm{AQ}^{-i}(C^*(X), C^*(Y)) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^{-i+1}(C^*(X), C^*(Y)),$$

where the $C^*(X)$ -(bi)modules structure on $C^*(Y)$ is given by $C^*(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow C^*(Y)$, and AQ^* is the André-Quillen cohomology. We also assume that X and Y are simply connected and $i > 1$.

More generally,

$$\pi_i\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{E}_\infty\mathrm{dgAlg}_k}(R, S) = \mathrm{AQ}^{-i}(R, S) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^{-i+1}(R, S) = \pi_i\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{dgAlg}_k}(R, S)$$

is an injective map of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces for all $i > 1$ and any augmented \mathbf{E}_∞ -differential graded connective \mathbb{Q} -algebras R and S , where the action of S on R is given by $S \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow R$.

Acknowledgement : I'm grateful to Benoît Fresse for his nice explanation of Lemma 1.1, the key point of the proof is due to him.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ilias Amrani. The mapping space of unbounded differential graded algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.6895*, 2013.
- [2] C. Berger and I. Moerdijk. Axiomatic homotopy theory for operads. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 78(4):805–831, 2003.
- [3] Jonathan Block and Andrej Lazarev. André–Quillen cohomology and rational homotopy of function spaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 193(1):18–39, 2005.
- [4] Benoit Fresse. The bar complex of an E-infinity algebra. *Advances in Mathematics*, 223(6):2049–2096, 2010.
- [5] Kathryn Hess. Rational homotopy theory: a brief introduction. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 436:175, 2007.
- [6] Igor Kriz and J Peter May. *Operads, algebras, modules and motives*. Société mathématique de France, 1995.
- [7] Charles Waldo Rezk. *Spaces of algebra structures and cohomology of operads*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1996.
- [8] Markus Spitzweck. Operads, algebras and modules in general model categories. *arXiv preprint math/0101102*, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASARYK UNIVERSITY, KOTLARSKA 2, BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC.

E-mail address: `ilias.amranifedotov@gmail.com`

E-mail address: `amrani@math.muni.cz`